

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/603,214	06/25/2003	Frank C. Barker	USAV2001/0077US NP	USAV2001/0077US NP 4330	
5487	7590 06/27/2005		EXAMINER		
ROSS J. OEHLER			FOSTER, JIMMY G		
AVENTIS PHARMACEUTICALS INC. ROUTE 202-206			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MAIL CODE: D303A			3728		
BRIDGEWATER, NJ 08807			DATE MAILED: 06/27/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				M			
	Ap	plication No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Sum		0/603,214	BARKER, FRANK	C.			
Office Action Sum	Ex	aminer	Art Unit				
		mmy G. Foster	3728				
The MAILING DATE of thi Period for Reply	s communication appears	s on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS O  - Extensions of time may be available under after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing dat  - If the period for reply specified above is les  - If NO period for reply is specified above, th  - Failure to reply within the set or extended p Any reply received by the Office later than earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CF	COMMUNICATION. the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). te of this communication. s than thirty (30) days, a reply withi e maximum statutory period will ap beriod for reply will, by statute, caus three months after the mailing date	In no event, however, may a reply be timing the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication	ation(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This acti	ion is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-108</u> is/are pend	ding in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>65-83</u> is/are allow	☑ Claim(s) <u>65-83</u> is/are allowed.						
	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-8,14-28,32-64,84-99 and 103-108</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-13,29-31 and 1</u>	☑ Claim(s) <u>9-13,29-31 and 100-102</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject	ct to restriction and/or ele	ection requirement.					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected	ed to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request th	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is o	objected to by the Exami	ner. Note the attached Office	Action or form P7	ΓΟ-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				,			
12) Acknowledgment is made	of a claim for foreign pric	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a)	a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
<ol><li>Certified copies of the copies of the copies of the copies of the copies.</li></ol>	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certific	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed C	Office action for a list of the	ne certified copies not receive	d.				
·							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawir</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (F</li> </ol>		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P		O-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/17/03.	10-1770 011 10/00/00)	6) Other:		- 102,			

Application/Control Number: 10/603,214

Art Unit: 3728

1) Claims 65-83 are allowable. The reference of Garrill et al (6,179,118 B1) does not disclose the combination of HFA propellant impermeability for a package and an absorbent material in the package for absorbing HFA propellant. In fact, the reference, and all of the other references with respect to the packaging of HFA pressurized containers in sealable packaging teach away from impermeability of the package with respect to the HFA propellant. The intention of the references is to permit escape of the HFA propellant instead of letting it build up in the package.

Page 2

## 2) 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

- 3) Claims 33-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as being to non-statutory subject matter for patenting. The claims are to the <u>use</u> of a package and fail to define a method with any method steps. The statute 35 U.S.C. 101 does not provide for patenting the use of something.
- 4) The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States. Art Unit: 3728

5) Claims 1-8, 14, 19, 26-28, 32-40, 46, 51, 58, 59, 61 and 64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Garrill et al (6,179,118 B1).

The reference of Garrill et al discloses <u>a moisture absorbent</u> in a package that stores a pressurized metered dose inhaler. However, one of the variety of moisture absorbents listed by the reference is an activated bentonite clay. Applicant has disclosed that activated bentonite clay will function as an absorbent for hydrofluoroalkane propellants, such as HFA 134a and HFA 227. Accordingly, the activated bentonite clay disclosed by Garrill et al will also absorb such propellants.

The mere discovery of a new use of an old device will not support patentability. The mere discovery that bentonite clay will also absorb propellants will therefore not support patentability.

The reference discloses a package 22, a pressurized inhaler 36, an HFA 134a or HFA 227 propellant in the inhaler along with a drug, such as an antihistamine, and the activated bentonite absorbent material 50 in the package with the inhaler. The package, which is a plastic bag, is heat sealed. The package is permeable with respect to the propellant. According to the disclosure, the drug formulation may include excipients and may include surfactants, although the reference tends to indicate that they may not be necessary.

The package is made of a polyester outer layer, an aluminum foil middle layer and a heat sealable polyethylene inner layer.

<sup>6)</sup> The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if

Art Unit: 3728

the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 15-18, 20-25, 47-50, 52-57, 84-99 and 103-108 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Garrill et al (6,179,118 B1). Although the reference of Garrill et al does not disclose the amount of permeability to HFA propellants, the reference discloses the general condition of being permeable to such propellants. It has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233. To have provided the package of Garrill et al with any workable range of values of permeability to the HFA propellants would therefore have been obvious as being merely to the discovery, and employment, of a desired or workable range of values of permeability.

Another general condition in the Garrill et al is the absorbency of HFA propellants, an inherent property of activated bentonite clay (disclosed by the reference) which the reference identifies with moisture absorbency. Accordingly to have discovered a workable range of values of absorbance of HFA propellants, including the rates of absorbency claimed by Applicant, would have been obvious since discovery of a workable range of a general condition already within the prior art would have been obvious (as stated above). Moreover to have provided any amount of the bentonite clay for any desired amount of moisture absorbency would have been obvious in view of the general condition that is recognized by the reference with respect to moisture absorbency.

Art Unit: 3728

8) Claims 9-11 and 100-102 are objected to as being dependent on a rejected claim, but would be allowable if amended to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claim. While the reference of Garrill et al does disclose molecular sieves, the reference does not disclose a particular pore size for HFA propellants. Therefore the pore size of 10 Angstroms would not have been obvious in view of Garrill et al.

Claims 12, 13 and 29-31 are objected to as being dependent on a rejected claim, but would be allowable if amended to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claim. The reference of Garrill et al (6,179,118 B1) does not disclose the combination of HFA propellant impermeability for a package and an absorbent material in the package for absorbing HFA propellant. In fact the reference, and all of the other references with respect to the packaging of HFA pressurized containers in sealable packaging teach away from impermeability of the package with respect to the HFA propellant. The intention of the references is to permit escape of the HFA propellant instead of letting it build up in the package.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jimmy G. Foster whose telephone number is (571) 272-4554. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8:45 am - 5:15 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on (571) 272-4562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/603,214

Art Unit: 3728

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jimmy G Foster Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728 Page 6

JGF 23 June 2005